

2003 AFCEE Technology Transfer Workshop

Promoting Readiness through Environmental Stewardship

Program Effective LTM

Progressing to the Next Level

Michael Raabe Eielson AFB, AK 26 Feb 2003



CHANGING STRIDES

- Conventional MW Drawbacks In Sub-Arctic
 - Frost Heaving
 - Questionable Well Integrity
 - Damage Control
 - Snow Removal Equipment Damage
 - Military / Recreational / Private Vehicle Damage
 - Maintenance Requirements
 - Damage Repair
 - MW's Act as Preferential Pathways or "Collection Points"







CHANGING STRIDES

- Conventional MW Drawbacks (Cont)
 - Security
 - Unauthorized Well Access / Vandalism
 - Safety Concerns / Liabilities
 - "Obstacles" to ATV / Private Vehicle Traffic
 - Aircraft Runway Clear Zone Obstruction



- MW = Serious Contaminant Plume Problem
- Single Point Snapshot
 - Limited Site Monitoring Options







Push Point Advantages

- Fewer Well Security Concerns
 - No Well, Nothing to Tamper With
- Sampling Diversity
 - Soil Vapor Field GC Analysis an Option
 - Groundwater
 - Latitude to Vary Sample Location
- Obstruction Free Site
 - Points Removed Following Sampling
 - Safety Hazard Removed for Area Traffic







Push Point Advantages

- Eliminates Maintenance
 - No Point, Therefore No Maintenance
- Following Point Removal, No Liability





COST COMPARISON

- Conventional MW vs Push Point
 - Roughly Comparable In Cost
 - Volume Savings
 - Savings realized in reduced maintenance / manpower







PROGRESSING TO THE NEXT LEVEL



EVENT DRIVEN MONITORING?

- Pushing the Envelope?
 - Probably, But Why Not?

QUESTIONS

- Does LTM Enhance Attenuation?
- What Added Value is Frequent LTM to The Program?
- Does Reduced Monitoring = Increased Risk?
- When Would We Monitor?
 - Changing Site Conditions
 - Proposed Construction Activities
 - Proposed Change to Land Use Scenario
 - Data Gathering For Site Closure



EVENT DRIVEN MONITORING?

Questions (Cont)

- Which Sites Qualify?
 - Subtitle C Landfills? / POL Sites? / TCE Sites?
- Site Qualifiers?
 - Isolated Exposure Pathway(s)
 - Contaminant Levels / Source Term ID
 - Demonstrated Stable/Attenuating Plume
 - Plume Location
 - Controlled Site Access
- Reasonable Alternative to LTM?
 - Efficient Management of Institutional Controls?



EVENT DRIVEN MONITORING?

Advantages

- Pushes Monitoring Costs Largely Into Projects
 - Re-directs Program Funds From Monitoring to Cleanup
- Pre-Siting Evaluation
 - Identifies Environmental Impacts to Construction Costs
 - Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion Potential
 - Engineering Controls For Air Quality Management
 - Contaminant Removal / Disposal Costs
 - Minimize Contract Change Orders



HOW DO WE GET THERE?

- AFCEE RPO Support
 - Draft a Decision Tree
 - Establish a Good Starting Point / Direction
- Regulator Involvement IMPORTANT!!
 - Seek EPA / State Regulator Input From Inception
 - Address Regulatory Concerns Up Front
 - Show What's in it For Them
- Institutional Controls Management Plan
 - Effective Contaminated Site Management
 - Geo-Base Management / Base General Plan
 - Design Review Involvement / Internal On-Site Inspections



HOW DO WE GET THERE?

- Community RAB Support
- Note of Caution:
 - Don't Brag About Savings
 - Regulators Don't Like To Hear This



GOAL?

- Drive Monitoring Costs Largely Into Project Costs
 - Environmental a Part of Initial Construction Budget Process
- Minimize Change Orders to Construction Contracts
 - Identify Environmental Cost Impacts Prior to Siting Approval
- Direct More Program \$\$'s to Cleanup

IS IT DOABLE??

Yes, We're Working On It



Questions??